Modern Standard Danish stop gradation explained diachronically



Rasmus Puggaard-Rode, Camilla Søballe Horslund & Henrik Jørgensen Leiden University – Aarhus University 5th *Edinburgh Symposium on Historical Phonology*, December 2021



Introduction

Danish has six stop phonemes

•/bdgptk/

In simple onset position,

- /b d g/ are voiceless /p t k/
- /p t k/ are aspirated [ph th kh]

Syllable-finally or before schwa,

- /p t k/ deaspirate
- /b d g/ alternate with glides or zero

Traditional analysis

UF	Strong	Weak
/p/	[p ^h]	[p]
/t/	[t ^h]	[t]
/k/	[k ^h]	[k]
/b/	[p]	[p ~ \overline{\
/d/	[t]	[×]
/g/	[k]	$[k \sim \check{\alpha} \sim \check{I} \sim \&]$
/v/	[v]	[¤]
/j/	[j]	[ĭ]

Rampant neutralization of /b g v j/ in weak position.

- Often not resolveable
- /g/ is especially problematic!

Lines of evidence

Irregular verbalizer -ere [-'e:²e]

galop	[kæˈlʌp]	'gallop' (n.)
galopere	[kælʌˈpʰeːˀɐ]	'to gallop'
lak	['lak]	'lacquer (n.)'
lakere	[la'kher?e]	'to lacquer'

Derivational suffixes like -ik [-'ik]

metode	[me'thory]	'method'
metodik	[methotik]	'methodics'

Irregular past tense -te [-tə]

smage	[ˈsmæːɪ]	'to taste'
smagte	[ˈsmaktə]	'tasted'
koge	[ˈkʰɔːʊ]	'to boil'
kogte	[ˈkʰʌktə]	'boiled'

Evolutionary Phonology

- Phonological phenomena are often grounded in common, well-understood sound changes
- Synchronic OR diachronic explanation is enough

Typology of changes

- Change (perceptual similarity
 → misperception)
- Chance (phonologically ambiguous signal)
- Choice (variation → recategorization)

Danish ~1700: Step 1

Closure voicing is difficult, especially syllable-finally

 Weak /b d g/ spirantize to retain laryngeal contrast

UF	Strong	Weak
/p/	[p]	[p]
/t/	[t]	[t]
/k/	[k]	[k]
/b/	[b]	[β]
/d/	[d]	[ð]
/g/	[g]	[ɣ]

Danish ~1700: Step 2

More loss of closure voicing. In strong position,

Voicing contrast →
 aspiration contrast

UF	Strong	Weak
/p/	[p ^h]	[p]
/t/	[t ^h]	[t]
/k/	[k ^h]	[k]
/b/	[p]	[β]
/d/	[t]	[ð]
/g/	[k]	[ɣ]

Danish ~1850

Loss of all obstruent voicing

Some varieties went for fricative devoicing

UF	Strong	Weak
/p/	[p ^h]	[p]
/t/	[t ^h]	[t]
/k/	[k ^h]	[k]
/b/	[p]	[3]
/d/	[t]	[ð]
/g/	[k]	[Å]

Recategorization

Speaker-Prod.-Perc.-Listener

Change as glides and semivowels are inherently similar

Chance as the signal is then phonologically ambiguous

• [v i] were already existing allophones of /v j/

Synchronically, the alternations are suppletive

• The diachronic result of pressure against obs. voicing